

BSE Limited	National Stock Exchange of India Ltd.,
Phiroze JeeJeeBhoy Towers,	"Exchange Plaza",
Dalal Street,	5 th Floor, Plot No. C-1, G Block,
<u>Mumbai - 400 001</u>	Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (E)
COND. CODE. FRANCE	<u>Mumbai – 400 051</u>
SCRIP CODE : 523367	SCRIP CODE : DCMSHRIRAM

Kind Attn : <u>Department of Corporate Communications/Head – Listing Department</u>

 Sub:
 Disclosure under Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 – Pendency of material litigation(s), dispute(s) etc.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30 read with Para B of Part A of Schedule III of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, ('SEBI LODR'), as amended, read with proviso to Regulation 30(4)(i) and SEBI Circular No. SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-PoD-1/P/CIR/2023/123 dated July 13, 2023, please find the details with respect to pending litigation(s), dispute(s) etc. which become material pursuant to the amended SEBI LODR, as enclosed herewith at **Annexure – I**.

Please take the same on your record.

Yours faithfully, **For DCM Shriram Ltd.**

(Sameet Gambhir) Company Secretary & Compliance Officer

Dated: August 14, 2023

Encl: As above

DCM SHRIRAM LTD.



<u>Annexure - I</u>

Matter 1: Matter before the Division Bench, High Court of Gujarat

a)	Brief details of litigation viz.	Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission
	name(s) of the opposing party,	brought out a Regulation in 2010 requiring all
	court/ tribunal/agency where	electricity consumers including captive power
	litigation is filed, brief details of	plants (CPPs) to consume a minimum specified
	dispute/litigation.	percentage from energy produced from
b)	Expected financial implications, if	renewable sources. The percentage varies on
	any, due to compensation, penalty	YoY basis. The effective/applicable date in
	etc.	respect of CPPs is yet to be notified. Many
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	companies challenged this regulation in High
		Court of Gujarat. The Company also joined them
		in June, 2011 as petitioner; and the matter is
		pending before the Division Bench, High Court of
		Gujarat.
		There is no demand, penalty or compensation as
		on date. As on March 2023, the Company has
		created a provision of ~Rs.86 crores in the
		books of accounts. The matter is pending for
		final hearing since 2019. The amount will
		increase basis Company's obligation till the
		matter is settled.

Matter 2: Writ Petition before the High Court of Bombay

a)	Brief details of litigation viz.	Around June - October 2017, there was an
	name(s) of the opposing party,	outbreak of Pink Boll Worm infestation of cotton
	court/ tribunal/agency where	crops across Maharashtra. In December 2017,
	litigation is filed, brief details of	the Government of Maharashtra (GoM)
	dispute/litigation.	announced a compensatory package for the
b)	Expected financial implications, if	affected farmers. The GoM issued show cause
IJ		
	any, due to compensation, penalty	notices to several seed companies, including
	etc.	Bioseed, a division of the Company.
c)	Quantum of claims, if any.	
		The first show cause notice (SCN) was served on
		Bioseed in June 2018 and thereafter several
		orders were passed and SCNs were received
		until November 2018, pursuant to which the
		Company has been directed to pay a total
		compensation of ~Rs.56.86 crores.
		compensation of "AS.50.00 crores.
		Coode Industries Association of Mahamahtus (the
		Seeds Industries Association of Maharashtra (the
		"Association") filed a writ petition before the
		High Court of Bombay on behalf of its member
		companies inter alia challenging the arbitrary
		actions of the Controller & Director (Input &
		Quality Control), Chief Quality Control Officer of
		the Commissionerate of Agriculture.
L		the commissionerate of rightenture.

DCM SHRIRAM LTD.



I

		The said Writ Petition was filed on 31 October 2018 by the Association. On 18 December 2018, High Court of Bombay passed ad-interim order directing the Respondents to only hear and pass orders in matters (of the seed companies) where the documents mentioned in the Impugned SCNs have been supplied to the said seed companies. It was further stated that if any order would come to be passed to the prejudice of a seed company then the same would not be given effect until the next date of hearing. Subsequent to filing of the Writ Petition by the Association, Company became a member of the Association and the Writ Petition was suitably amended. The said amendment was allowed by the Bombay High Court vide order dated 25 February 2019, which inter-alia stated that <i>"Interim order passed on 18 December 2018 shall apply to them if they have filed their reply to show cause notice and already order to their prejudice is not passed"</i> . Therefore, the interim order passed on 18 December 2018 also became applicable on the Company.
		occasions for final hearing but has not been taken up due to paucity of time, but the ad- interim reliefs, have continued.
Matt	ter 3 - Taxation related matter(s) before	e various forums
a) b) c)	Brief details of litigation viz. name(s) of the opposing party, court/ tribunal/agency where litigation is filed, brief details of dispute/litigation. Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty etc. Quantum of claims, if any.	The Company currently has on-going Income- tax litigation for AY's (AY 15-16 to AY 18-19) at various appellate forums (viz. Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) & Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT). The material issue involved in litigation, having a likely cumulative tax effect of Rs.188.49 crores, is of transfer pricing adjustments on industrial consumables intra- transferred between units of the Company.
	Lunion of claims, if any.	The said issue (of transfer pricing adjustments on industrial consumables) has been decided in favour of the Company in AY 14-15 by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) and forms a favorable precedent for the following years in dispute. Years prior do not involve transfer pricing issues or any other material tax litigation

DCM SHRIRAM LTD.

litigation.



There is no change in status quo of the matters stated above and they are currently pending before CIT(A) & ITAT.

Matter 4: Arbitration matter regarding dispute with Maharaja Salts

a) b) c)	Brief details of litigation viz. name(s) of the opposing party, court/ tribunal/agency where litigation is filed, brief details of dispute/litigation. Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty etc. Quantum of claims, if any.	The Company entered into agreement with Maharaja Salt Works Co. Pvt. Ltd. for supply of industrial grade salt for a period of 5 years @2 Lac MT per year. However, Maharaja Salt did not supply salt hence the dispute arose. Vide notice dated 07.3.2023, the Company called upon Maharaja Salt to pay a sum of ~Rs.48.91 crores towards difference of cost of deficient supply made in previous years and also to handover operational rights of its Versamedi unit alongwith goods lying therein, in terms of the agreement.	
		Maharaja Salt failed to comply with the notice. Both the parties filed petition before Delhi High Court. The High Court appointed Justice P.S. Baghel, Former Judge, Allahabad High Court to arbitrate in the matter. The Company has filed statement of claim against Maharaja Salt claiming inter-alia, damages for non-supply of salt for a sum of ~Rs.48.91 crores. Proceedings are pending before the Arbitrator.	
Matt	Matter 5: Arbitration matter - Chhatru Hydro Project		
a)	Brief details of litigation viz. name(s) of the opposing party, court/ tribunal/agency where litigation is filed, brief details of dispute/litigation.	Govt. of HP had allotted Chhatru Hydro Project (126MW) to DCM Shriram Infrastructure Ltd. (a wholly owned subsidiary) in July 2007. The subsidiary company made upfront payment of Rs.21.87 crores and executed Pre-	
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty etc.	Implementation (PI) Agreement with the Govt. Later on, the Govt. cancelled the project PI Agreement in 2019 and illegally forfeited the	
c)	Quantum of claims, if any.	upfront premium Rs.21.87 crores. Company invoked arbitration and filed statement of claims before J. Swatanter Kumar, Retd. Judge, Supreme Court, appointed by H.P High Court at Shimla.	
		The subsidiary company has filed claim statement for a sum of Rs.56.08 crores (Rs.21.87 crores towards refund of upfront fee and Rs.34.21 crores towards expense incurred towards project implementation and interest thereon).	

DCM SHRIRAM LTD.



Arguments have already been advanced by the
parties. The matter is pending for clarification/
further arguments before the arbitrator.

DCM SHRIRAM LTD.